


24/1

PEGASUS



Surrey Investigation Group into Aerial Phenomena

PEGASUS

Volume 21, #1

President: GORDON MILLINGTON - president@sigap.org
Chairman: IAN WHEATLEY - chairman@sigap.org
Vice-Chairmen: PAUL WHITEHEAD - vchairman@sigap.org
SONYA PORTER - vchair2@sigap.org

Belmont, Markenfield Road, GUILDFORD, Surrey, UK. GU1 4PF

TEL: 01483 578419 Web-site: www.sigap.org

THE GAMUT

In this issue *Pegasus* gives free range to a whole variety of UFO writings, ranging from the (nearly) sublime to the (totally) ridiculous, so that it behoves the reader to remember that SIGAP espouses no explanatory paradigm with regard to the phenomena upon which it periodically reports, nor does it necessarily assert the truth of the observations offered.

One thing, however, we do take most seriously is our conviction that governments world-wide, and especially that of the USA, are actively concerned to deny their citizens the truth about assertions by serious and sober observers that the UFO problem is of genuine concern to all humanity.

When the question of disclosure of the significance of reported factual evidence was first considered, the conclusion was reached that knowledge calculated to have a disturbing and possibly frightening effect on the public mind should initially be ridiculed and in any case suppressed. Since then, nothing has changed in that respect. Lethal force has, as we all know, been authorised in support of this suppression. If it were never to be used, it would scarcely have been authorised. We believe it has been used.

What is it they don't want us to know? Speculation runs riot and harms the search for truth. We should go only as far as the evidence allows, and keep asking the relevant questions in the hope that one day some authority will see the wisdom of revealing the truth.

G.M.

UFOS: CAN THEY ALL BE HOAXES?

A newly published top secret dossier reveals thousands of alien sightings – not made by cranks, but by highly respected military personnel...

By Zoe Brennan, Daily Mail, Friday August 11th 2006

The alarm sounded and Lieutenant Gordon Cooper ran across the airfield to join his mates in the 525th Fighter Bomber Squadron. He had been on regular forays into the sky and was prepared for anything. It was 1951 at Neubiberg Air Force Base and the new Soviet MiG-15 jets often penetrated German air space.

Cooper reported: 'My squadron mates and I dashed from the ready room and scrambled skyward in our F-86s to intercept the bogies. We reached our maximum ceiling of around 45,000ft, and they were still way above us and travelling much faster.'

The young jet pilot, who would become an astronaut, realised that the aircraft above him were not of a type he had encountered before. 'I could see that they weren't balloons or MiGs, or like any aircraft I had seen before,' he said. 'They were metallic silver and saucer-shaped. We couldn't get close enough to form any idea of their size; they were just too high.'

For the next two or three days, according to Cooper, the objects passed over the base daily. They could outmanoeuvre and outflank the American planes, and occasionally came to a dead stop as the airmen flew beneath.

What had he seen? Only one thing is certain: Cooper had unwittingly been drawn into the very centre of a maelstrom: the debate on UFOs — Unidentified Flying Objects.

The stuff of myth, controversy and schoolboy wonder, there have been reports of unusual aerial phenomena dating back thousands of years.

Now, for the first time, a new book documents each significant sighting from 1939 to the present and asks: do UFOs exist? And – critically -- if so, does their presence indicate the existence of intelligent life beyond earth?

Millions of UFO sightings have been reported throughout the world. According to the author, up to 95 per cent of these can probably be explained in conventional terms, but that still leaves tens of thousands of unexplained cases.

Documents declassified by the Ministry of Defence (MoD) under the 2005 Freedom of Information Act reveal that, far from the public image of the oddball UFO enthusiast, many sightings have in fact been recorded by respected military personnel.

Some of the thousands of reports by pilots, army and naval officers, astronauts and astronomers have now been documented. Their testimony is often supported by radar, sonar and sometimes by film and photographs.

Indeed, a survey of members of the American Astronomical Society revealed that more than 60 per cent of them have witnessed events they believed could be linked to UFOs, and the majority felt these warranted further scientific study.

Of course, there is nothing new about UFOs. In 1896, for instance, there were sightings of 'mystery airships', particularly in the U.S. In 1920 unexplained lights were seen in the sky around the entrance to the Bass Strait, Australia, as a ship disappeared. The search aircraft sent to investigate also vanished.

The Bass Strait is one of a number of areas, such as the Bermuda Triangle, where aircraft and ships have mysteriously disappeared, often after witnesses reported strange lights in the sky.

MOST of the sightings recorded were made during World War II, perplexing world leaders at the time. For example, Benito Mussolini, the Italian fascist dictator, took great interest in sightings by his reconnaissance agents.

Telegrams related instructions for the recovery of a flying disc grounded near Milan in 1933. An Italian government report of 1936, written by a secret-service agent, describes an unknown flying craft near Venice. It reads: 'It was a metallic disc, polished and reflecting light. It didn't emit sound, which would lead one to consider an aerostat. Yet nobody knows of balloons that can fly faster than the wind.'

As the war progressed, the sightings intensified, and not just in Europe. Following reports by fishermen of strange lights off the Tasman Peninsula, the Royal Australian Air Force investigated such a report in 1942. The pilot described a domed object as 'a singular airfoil of glistening bronze colour'.

Several reports from 1942 (declassified 50 years later by the MoD) relate to sightings of unusual aircraft seen by RAF crews. A memo from Headquarters No. 5 Group to Headquarters Bomber Command begins: 'The crew refuse to be shaken in their story in the face of the usual banter and ridicule.' The airmen, based at RAF Syerston in Lincolnshire, saw the object after a raid on Turin. They said: 'It was seen by the entire crew of the aircraft. The speed was estimated at 500 mph and it had four pairs of red lights spaced an equal distance along its body.'

More typically in this period, many Allied and Axis airmen reported small, apparently remotely-controlled objects which followed aircraft during missions.

Amid speculation that the Germans had developed a new weapon, the U.S. Eighth Army Air Force ordered a full investigation but was unable to resolve the matter.

On the night of 26 May 1943, during a raid over Essen, Germany, involving up to 500 aircraft, an RAF Halifax four-engine bomber encountered a craft similar to the one reported over Turin six months before, flying at high speed.

Flight Sergeant Cockcroft detailed: 'It was a long cylindrical object, silver-gold in colour, with a number of portholes.'

First to observe the object was the captain, Flight Sergeant Ray Smith, of the Royal Canadian Air Force. 'I think the initial reaction of most of us was amazement,' said Cockcroft, 'because the object just had no right to be there.'

In the same month, during a raid on Germany, USAAF 348th Group Bombers reported 'a cluster of discs in the path of the formation near Schweinfurt' at a time when no enemy aircraft were operating above.

Ronald Claridge, a holder of the Distinguished Flying Cross, was a wireless operator with No 7 Squadron, RAF on an 1844 raid on the oil refineries at La Pallice, France. He reported: 'Our Lancaster was flying straight and level. On our starboard side I saw a grey saucer-like object emerge. We all watched this object for about three minutes, then the vast size was gone in less than a second, without any noise or turbulence.'

Was global conflict attracting the attention of an extraterrestrial force?

After all, this was the largest and deadliest war in our planet's history, with around 62 million people perishing, before culminating in the explosion of the atom bomb.

According to Tim Good, a UFO expert and author of the new book: 'The proliferation of sightings in World War II was not coincidental. I have no doubt the reason lies in the inauguration of the nuclear age on Earth, necessitating increased surveillance by advanced intelligences.'

Indeed, the Americans took sightings by its military personnel sufficiently seriously to undertake an official UFO investigation which, in 1949, speculated on the correlation between the dawn of the nuclear age and the documented increase in 'visits'.

'Such a civilisation might observe we on Earth now have atomic bombs and are fast developing rockets. In view of the past history of mankind, they should be alarmed.'

The USAAF set up a special investigation (nicknamed Project Grudge) into UFOs, and a report was completed by the Intelligence Department at Wright Patterson Air Force Base, Dayton, Ohio.

But it concluded that there was no evidence that such objects were the result of an 'advanced scientific foreign development' and that they did not constitute a threat to national security.

However, the UFO reports did not stop at the end of the war. In the summer of 1946, a wave of sightings of what became known as 'ghost rockets' proliferated in Denmark, Finland, Norway and Sweden.

A 1947 U.S. War Department review, classified 'secret' at the time, summarises the observations: 'The two most common descriptions of the missiles were "a ball of fire with a tail" and "a shiny cigar-shaped object"'. In September and October of that year flying objects were reported over widely separate points in Europe and Africa. It is not surprising that censorship of such sightings was widespread — often for obvious military reasons, but this only added fuel to the conspirators' debate.

In 1950, a Canadian government memorandum revealed that 'the matter is the most highly classified subject in the U.S. government, rating higher even than the H-bomb'.

Rear Admiral Roscoe Hillenkoetter, a former CIA director, noted in 1960 that 'behind the scenes high-ranking Air Force officers are soberly concerned about UFOs. But, through official secrecy and ridicule, many citizens are led to believe the unknown flying objects are nonsense'.

Were the authorities imposing a 'world blanket of secrecy', in the words of the eminent Greek physicist Professor Paul Santorini, who studied with Albert Einstein at Zurich University and investigated UFOs for the Greek military?

He suggested that there might be a cover-up because the authorities were unwilling to admit the existence of a force against which they had no possibility of defence.

An incident in 1947 over the town of Roswell, New Mexico, has become a byword for enthusiasts' 'conclusive proof of the existence of UFOs.' An 'alien ship' was reported to have crash-landed on the Roswell Army Air Field.

The official response -- that it was an experimental high-altitude balloon devised to monitor Soviet nuclear tests -- is still dismissed by many as a government cover-up.

But in 1995 Lieutenant Colonel Philip Corso, a highly respected intelligence officer who served on President Eisenhower's National Security Council staff, published an extraordinary account of his work on recovered fabric from the Roswell vehicle.

In *The Day After Roswell* he claimed that, from 1961 to 1963, he was steward of an army project which developed examples of alien technology at U.S. companies such as IBM, Hughes Aircraft and Bell Labs — without their knowledge of the background to these scientific advances.

He also claimed to have seen an alien life-form being sent for autopsy at Port Riley, Kansas, where he was serving as an intelligence officer.

He described a 'four-foot human-shaped figure with bizarre-looking four-fingered hands and an oversized incandescent light-bulb-shaped head. The eye sockets were oversized and pointed down to its tiny nose, like that of a baby that never grew as the child grew'.

Another report came from retired Air Force officer Brigadier General Thomas Dubose. He testified to handling the high-level phone communications and said he personally received the order from General Clemence McMullen in Washington to set in motion a cover-up operation, with McMullen telling him the event was so highly classified that it went 'beyond top secret'.

Following Roswell there were sightings at some of America's most sensitive installations, such as the Los Alamos Atomic Energy Commission's facility, as well as further encounters globally.

In 1950, during the Korean War, U.S. Navy fighter-bombers recounted now they had been 'inspected' by objects with a 'silvered mirror' appearance and surrounded by a reddish glow.

Strange aircraft were also observed from a Canadian warship near Hawaii in 1952, while Admiral of the Fleet Earl Mountbatten of Burma, later Chief of the Defence staff, had a sighting in the Pacific, according to Tim Good.

Similar occurrences have continued up to the present, sometimes increasing in frequency.

Nick Pope, who headed the MoD's UFO research from 1991 to 1994, reports that he arrived at his Whitehall desk on March 31 1993, to find that there had been a major wave of sightings in Britain the previous night.

A report came in from a military patrol guarding RAF Cosford, near Wolverhampton, and another from Rugeley, Staffordshire, where five members of the same family saw a huge diamond-shaped object flying slowly above them. The meteorological officer at RAF Shawbury in Shropshire described seeing an object firing a beam of light at the ground.

Returning from Milan in January 1995, two British Airways pilots, Captain Roger Wills and First Officer Mark Stuart, reported a near-miss with an unknown structured craft as they approached Manchester. They said: 'There was no known traffic in the vicinity at the time and no radar contacts were seen.'

So, what are we to make of the evidence? Perhaps it is most illuminating to turn to Bill Gunston, OBE, one of the world's leading aviation historians and a Fellow of the Royal Aeronautical Society.

He turns the debate on its head and asks if it is credible that so many eminent military men have made these stories up.

• Extracted from *NEED TO KNOW: UFOS, THE MILITARY AND INTELLIGENCE* by Timothy Good, published by Sidgwick & Jackson on 18 August at £18.99.

SPACE SHUTTLE ATLANTIS SPOTS UFOS AND DEBRIS

On flight STS-115, the Space Shuttle Atlantis docked with the International Space Station (ISS) on September 11, 2006. In the ensuing days, three intense spacewalks were mounted. Following undocking Atlantis' payload bay camera picked up a bit of unexpected black debris. The man in Houston Mission Control Center who is responsible for operating the Shuttle payload bay, and robotic arm cameras is known as the INCO - INstrument and Communication Officer. He also remotely operates the helmet cameras in space suits. In the case of ISS, this officer is referred to as the CATO - Communications And Tracking Officer. In both cases, these persons *CONTROL* everything which is *PERMITTED* to go out on broadcast to the public. It is a commonly believed fallacy that the cameras are operated by Astronauts and Cosmonauts in space. Very little camera work is done by the crews. They're way too busy for that.

A great deal of attention was given by NASA and the media, to this black debris because it might be one of Atlantis' heat shield tiles so the crew of Atlantis was ordered to inspect the heat shield for damage yet again to ensure that the debris had not struck the Shuttle in any critical area.

The detailed inspection of the underside of Atlantis was well underway when at about 6:22 AM CDT on September 20, when an anomalous object came into view off the port side of the Shuttle. This object was at first moving vertically relative to the orbiter. BUT, as time progressed, it was actually changing course! It described an arc, altering its trajectory by MORE than 170 degrees! This behaviour is simply not possible for mere ice or debris. The transcripts of Audio Tracks state:

Astronaut: "...object in question just, uh, passed off the nose *again*. Right now it's at about 12:30, and it's, uh, passing out in front of the vehicle."

CapCom Houston: "We're Tally Ho. Can you get a, uh, still imagery by hand, and any estimate on size or range."

Did you catch that part about the object passing in front of the Shuttle's nose *AGAIN*? What does THAT mean? One might suppose that it means the Astronauts had sighted this object earlier in the day, and it had returned. Very strange behaviour for a conventional object indeed. When the object passed out of view beyond the nose of Atlantis, the elbow camera on the robotic arm was called into service to follow it longer. The arm was in a position at the time to see the area in front of the Shuttle. At

this point the anomaly became *STATIONARY* off Shuttle's nose! Then it went on the move again, this time in an upward direction relative to Atlantis. The Astronauts tentatively identified this object as "a piece of foil". To my knowledge, a simple piece of foil cannot change course, stop and start again. This sort of manoeuvring is also outside the ability of satellites. *Only controlled vehicles, with engines, can do the things this object did.*

There were other objects seen during this portion of the event. The crew identified them as "rings", but did not elaborate, at least publicly. Two attempts, one by the NASA Commentator, and one by the CapCom, were made to identify the objects as "reflections". The CapCom even tried to get the Shuttle Crew to "confirm" that they were "reflections". It didn't work. The Crew stubbornly insisted that all of the objects in their field of view were solid and physical. In the end, the Flight Director at Mission Control Center ordered the men to use "hand held" cameras to record the object, and to return to work. From this point onward, "live" video was curtailed in favour of computer generated animation of the inspection for public consumption. Now we have transcriptions of the relevant audio for you, in the exact words of the parties involved.

[A = Atlantis Astronaut, C = CapCom in Houston, N = NASA Commentator.]

N: "The Instrumentation Communication Officer...here in Mission Control, operating the payload bay camera. With, uh, *reflections* in the uh, camera lens, as uh, it looks past, uh, the Space Shuttle"

A: "...our digital downlink.

C: "Uh, we do see that."

A: "OK. That's, uh, looking out in front of the orbiter."

C: "OK, we're seein' three or four objects, uh, can ya, can you confirm that it's just the one that's actually moving, the other ones are just *reflec, reflections?*

A: "Uh, there are, there are three objects. The one you see, you see two rings right there, they're the ones we kinda had the late Tally Ho on. Uh, the one, down at the bottom. That was the one we initially saw that, appeared to be foil. So we've got like two rings, and then *a piece of foil*. The piece of foil...um, seems to be further in front, with the, with the grounding strap on, it seems to be further in front of the other two. And the other two seem to be about the same, equidistant from, uh, both us and the forward one."

A: "Yeah, I'm just wondering, uh, how close we are to the trajectory flown by the Soyuz."

C: "Yeah, we're asking."

C: "We're gonna check on that, umm, but while we're waiting for that, we're thinking based on our daylight and Ku availability, if we can get


to the OBSS inspection, and maybe use the, uh, still cameras to photograph these objects.”

A: “Yeah, we understand, uh, we’ll, we’re getting’ back to OBSS.”

C: “Thanks”

At a subsequent press conference, a still photo was produced for reporters to see. There was absolutely no proof that the still photograph was actually of the object seen in the video.

But, that didn't stop NASA leaders from claiming that it was the object in question, and that it was a *plastic bag*! How about that? A plastic bag capable of manoeuvres only a powered vehicle, under control, could produce! We saw an unidentified object (claimed by NASA to be a plastic bag) execute an acute turn, pass in front of Atlantis, come to a stop, and then proceed to move again on a new course. This is *NOT* the behaviour of ice, debris, plastic bags, astronomical bodies, meteors, or manmade satellites and spacecraft. For these reasons, I must classify it as unknown. Atlantis landed safely at the KSC Shuttle Landing Facility on 21 September 2006, at 5:21 AM CDT. Thanks to Jeff Challender see the video at www.projectprove.com. Source: Filer's Files.



Book Review:

MAJIC EYES ONLY -- *Earth's Encounters with Extraterrestrial Technology*

by Ryan S. Wood (November 2005)

This book, self-published by its American author in the USA, has a moral purpose, as stated on its flyleaf, for it is “dedicated to those who have lost their lives for the sake of UFO secrecy.” Underlying the mass of detail presented is an accusation of sustained ethical delinquency on the part of governments of the USA in respect of their handling of matters relating to and arising from extraterrestrial technology.

It falls into two major sections: first, a list of 73 UFO incidents between 1897 and 1996; second, a study of official documents relating to US government policy in regard to them, mainly Majestic 12. The author's comments on his material are relatively briefly embodied in a preface, a foreword, an introduction and an afterword. His case studies, covering a century of events in 200 pages, are necessarily far from exhaustive, and the major events will already be familiar to ufologists, just as the Majic documents have been pretty well picked over too. We know that lethal force is commonly authorised in all these matters, as the notices round Area 51 have long reminded us. So, what purpose can the publication of this book now possibly serve?

It is well written and sober in tone, accurate so far as one can tell in its detail. It recognises that national security often demands a ruthless secrecy, so what in effect has motivated the writer to undertake this not inconsiderable task? At whom is it ultimately directed?

I'm afraid the answer is -- at the government of the USA, in the misguided belief that, by showing them how much we already know, we will persuade them into telling us the rest. But what this and all our ufological writings and conferences have told them is actually how little we in fact know, and that they can congratulate themselves on the success of their secrecy policies. Lives will continue to be lost “for the sake of UFO secrecy”, but few in number compared with those lost in Iraq and Afghanistan. It is all relative, and who is to judge?

G.M.

THEY'RE TRYING TO CONTACT US

Daily Mail, 27 February 2006

The five stars most likely to support alien life have been identified. Now, scientists are training thousands of powerful listening computers on them convinced that...they're trying to contact us!

No news would be more stunning, or more far-reaching in its consequences. The shockwaves would be felt throughout society — affecting scientists, the public, politicians and religious leaders alike.

Awe, fear and hysteria would spread across the world within moments. And then would follow the greatest quandary Man has ever faced: how should we respond? The 'news' in question would be the discovery that humanity is definitely not alone in the Universe.

We are not talking here about the discovery of Martian microbes; nor about an alien landing in Trafalgar Square in a flying saucer. No: what we are talking about is the receiving of a radio signal from a planet orbiting a nearby star which contains an unambiguous, intelligible message from its inhabitants saying 'We are here.'

How would — and should — we react to something to which scientists are giving serious consideration? How will the news leak out? Who will be told? Will there be public panic? Should we send a reply? And just how likely is it that we will receive such a signal in the first place?

At a recent science conference in the U.S. scientists looking for extraterrestrial intelligence unveiled the next stage in their search. They revealed that, if there is anyone out there, we are closer to finding them than ever before, as researchers have now identified the most likely homes for extraterrestrial life in our part of the galaxy.

SETI — the Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence — has been monitoring several thousand stars for more than 40 years for one of these elusive radio signals, so far without success.

The public has been co-opted into their hunt for intelligent aliens. Millions of people have downloaded SETI's software to run on their home computers, the idea being that the more computers focused on the skies for these radio-waves, the better the chances of proving — or, indeed, disproving — the existence of other life-forms. By harnessing the surplus computing power of machines all over the world through the use of this software, the complex analysis of the radio signals received by SETI's telescopes can be performed more quickly.

Indeed, there are some 100 billion stars in our galaxy -- the Milky Way. It would take an eternity to point our radio telescopes at them all and tune in throughout the various frequencies.

But now, SETI scientist Margaret Turnbull has compiled a 'shortlist' of five nearby stars — whittled down from an initial 19,000 possible candidates — that, she says, are the most likely nearby candidates for being home to ET. These are the stars upon which we should be concentrating our search efforts. These stars had to fulfil certain criteria to go on the shortlist.

A candidate must be long-lived (so that life has had time to develop) and give out just the right amount of heat and light. It must also have planets, at least one of which must be in the 'habitable zone' — orbiting far enough away from its sun not to boil, but not so far that it freezes. In our Solar System only one planet — Earth — falls within this habitable zone.

Elsewhere in the galaxy, there were only a few possible contenders, which Turnbull describes as 'the places I'd want to live if God were to put our planet around another star'.

Dr Turnbull's front-runner as a possible home for our extraterrestrial neighbours is a rather nondescript star called Beta CVn, a sun-like star about 150 trillion miles away in the constellation Canes Venatici. You can just about glimpse it with the naked eye, if you know where to look.

Number two on the list is HD10307, which is almost identical to our Sun. Others on the list include 51 Pegasus, a star already known to have planets orbiting it, 18 Sco, another 'twin' of our Sun, and HD211415, which is a little cooler than the others.

Of course, we do not know if any of these stars have planets orbiting them that are homes to life — let alone life intelligent enough to build radio transmitters and send a signal powerful enough to get to Earth.

But these are the stars upon which SETI's telescopes will now be brought to bear, and they are being subjected to a detailed radio search across all frequencies.

So far, after more than four decades of less detailed searching, the SETI project has heard nothing. Not a peep -- although a possible 'signal' was picked up in 1977.

It was heard just once by a lone scientist — who hastily scribbled 'Wow!' in the margin of his notes when he discovered it. But, sadly, the so-called 'wow' signal disappeared before it could be verified.

But this silence, say SETI's scientists, does not mean we are alone. Increased computer power and the refinements of search criteria mean that the hunt is only just getting going, and the team remain hopeful of finding *something*.

'If there is a civilisation out there like 21st-century Earth's, then we will probably be able to find it,' says Dr Jill Tarter, head of the project.

And what then? If a signal *is* received, what will SETI do?

Basically, after the existence of the signal had been verified – probably by using several other telescopes to scan the source, and after confirmation that 'ET' did not turn out to be one of our satellites or simply some hoaxer messing around with ham radio -- SETI would immediately go public with the news.

There would, of course, be massive public interest — and speculation — as to what the message is and what it might mean.

Of course, ET will not send its signal in English or any other Earthly language (although there is the remote possibility that if any nearby aliens have picked up our chatterings).

Most likely, the signal will be something mathematical and universal — perhaps a list of bleeps signifying prime numbers.

Confirmation that Man is not alone would tear at the very fabric of the belief systems of millions.

Fundamentalist Christians, for example, would have a hard time reconciling their beliefs with the knowledge that there were alien souls out there. There is, of course no mention of aliens in the New Testament.

There might also be a degree of panic. It is not impossible that the 'message' might contain worrying information.

Say we found evidence of a warlike civilisation, perhaps thousands of years ahead of us technologically. Not all scientists are convinced that, if aliens ever *did* visit, they would come in peace.

With this in mind, there is an international agreement that, if Mankind should receive a signal -- whatever it says -- we should not send a reply until everyone agrees that it is a wise idea.

Seth Shostak, another SETI scientist, has suggested that, if we do not return ET's call, we should simply beam them the internet and let them make of it what they will.

Rather surprisingly, even our current technology means that we could easily send a radio message halfway across the galaxy — provided we knew where to aim the transmitter.

So, will SETI resolve this great mystery once and for all?

That is far from certain. Maybe the aliens, if they are out there, are not interested in communicating. Or maybe they don't use radio.

But what Jill Tarter believes is that, if intelligent life is reasonably common, and if aliens think enough like us to realise that radio would be a good, fairly cheap way of communicating, then we stand a good chance of finding them.

The alternative? If after several more decades of searching we have still found nothing, then we may have to face what is perhaps an even more awesome possibility than the existence of alien life: the unsettling likelihood that we truly are alone.

ROBBING PLUTO OF ITS PLANETHOOD:

*“Leave it the way the Sumerians had it”,
says author Zecharia Sitchin.*

Our solar system has only eight “classical” planets -- so has decided the astronomer’s union, meeting in Prague. Pluto -- still out there -- must be laughing.

It is ironic -- or, perhaps, symbolic -- that the decision to deprive Pluto of its status as our sun’s ninth planet coincides with the 30th anniversary of the publication of my seminal work *The Twelfth Planet* in Autumn 1976. In it I suggested that the Sumerian Epic of Creation is not an allegorical myth but a sophisticated cosmogony scientifically describing how our solar system came to be; and it most definitely included Pluto.

Inscribed on seven clay tablets, the text described how the inner and outer planets appeared; how an invading celestial body ('Nibiru') collided with and broke up the planet 'Tiamat', creating “a new heaven;” and how Nibiru, captured in a great elliptical orbit, became the twelfth member of the Sun’s Family - Sun, Moon, and ten planets including Earth, Nibiru and Pluto. These 'celestial gods' were matched by a pantheon of twelve deities on Earth.

The reports from Prague at first suggested that astronomers were bothered by Pluto’s small size, now that a large icy body has been found farther out. But the final vote focused on what has troubled astronomers almost from Pluto’s discovery in 1930: its unusual inclined orbit that weaves in and out of Neptune’s path.

This odd orbit has led some astronomers to speculate that Pluto began its life as a satellite, perhaps of Neptune. But what forceful event could have caused it to shift position and adopt a

strange orbit? No one knew. But in *The 12th Planet* I wrote that the Sumerians of ancient Mesopotamia knew: Pluto ('GAGA' in the *Epic of Creation*) was indeed a moon of Saturn, pried off and sent into independent orbit by the invading Nibiru to play an important role in those celestial encounters.

In fact, the Sumerians were also aware that Gaga/Pluto ended up in the odd orbit next to Neptune. In the Sumerian pantheon the planet we call Neptune was the celestial counterpart of the Aquarius god Enki. His 'chancellor' or 'visier' was nicknamed Ushmu, meaning "He of two faces" -- and so was he depicted, with one face looking at Enki and a second face looking away from him (Fig. 1) -- exactly the way Pluto looks at Neptune.



Figure 1

The *Epic of Creation* and other relevant Sumerian texts are supported by other pictorial depictions, such as the cylinder seal VA-243 in the Berlin Near Eastern Museum. (Fig.2). It shows the sun in the centre (!), surrounded by eleven orbiting bodies that include the Moon, Pluto and the yet-to-be-recognized 'Planet X' -- Nibiru.



Figure 2

Sumerian knowledge of the origin and makeup of our solar system included a host of other aspects that modern science has been rediscovering in recent times. Here, suffice it to say: The Sumerians counted Pluto as a member in good standing of our Sun's Family; let it stay so.

ZECHARIA SITCHIN

© Z. Sitchin 2006

U.K. Ministry Hid the Hunt for UFOs

The Guardian Monday 25 September 2006

James Randerson, Science Correspondent for *The Guardian*, reports: "The Ministry of Defence went to extraordinary lengths to cover up its true involvement in investigating UFOs, according to secret documents revealed under the Freedom of Information [FOI] Act. The files show that officials attempted to expunge information from documents released to the Public Records Office under the "30-year rule" that would have revealed the extent of the MoD's interest in UFO sightings.

In particular, the ministry wanted to cover up the operation of a secret unit dedicated to UFO investigations within the Defence

Intelligence Staff. UFO conspiracy theorists have likened the unit, called DI55, to a sort of "Men in Black" agency for defending the Earth against invasion, but the released documents show that this is far from the truth. One 1995 memo from DI55 to the MoD's public "UFO desk" said: "I have several books at home that describe our supposed role of 'defender of the Earth against the alien menace' - it is light years from the truth!"

The files were made public following FOI requests by David Clarke, a lecturer in journalism at Sheffield Hallam University, and his colleague Andy Roberts. "The documents don't tell us anything about UFOs, but they do show how desperate the MoD has been to conceal the interest which the intelligence services had in the subject," said Dr Clarke. The trail begins with a request, in 1976, from a UFO enthusiast called Julian Hennessy for access to the MoD's records on UFO sightings. A note from the UFO desk to the MoD's head of security on 23 March shows that officials intended to refuse him access on the grounds that the files contain confidential information and "very little of value to a serious scientific investigator". But the note continues: "This is not to say that the investigation is not taken seriously. The branches have their own methods -- and [the public UFO desk] has no 'need to know' about them -- but we are aware that DI55, for example, sometimes makes extensive inquiries.

"It is undesirable that even a hint of this should become public, and we are currently consulting the [Air Historical Branch] on ways of expurgating the official records against the time when they qualify for disclosure [at the Public Records Office]." Magistrate Hennessy, was not surprised. "Everything led me to believe there was a major cover up going on," he said. "They didn't want to let the public know just how interested they were in these phenomena." Attempts to alter the public record went on into the 90s. In a note dated 28 April 1993 from DI55 to the public UFO desk the unnamed author argued that the unit's involvement should be excised from records due to be released under the 30-year rule. Eventually, DI55 decided to allow its involvement to be made public. A note from DI55 to the public UFO desk on 5 July 1995 said: "I see no reason for continuing to deny that the [Defence Intelligence Service] has an interest in UFOs. However, if the association is formally made public then the MoD will no doubt be pressured to state what the intelligence role/interest is. This could lead to disbelief and embarrassment, since few people are likely to believe the truth that lack of funds and higher priorities have prevented any study of the thousands of

reports received." At this point someone, presumably from the public UFO desk, has scribbled "ouch!" in the margin.

"The lengths they went to to remove any mention of the Defence Intelligence Staff's central role in investigating sightings suggest they had something to hide," said Dr Clarke. "But what they were hiding was not evidence of ET visits, but embarrassment at the fact they were never allowed to spend public money on investigating the subject in any depth."

The full extent of DI55's involvement has subsequently been made clear by a report released to Dr Clarke in May and reported in *The Guardian*. They threw up a 500-page document which brought together everything the unit knew about UFOs, or Unidentified Aerial Phenomena (UAPs) -- as the MoD prefers -- including more than 10,000 sightings. It said the existence of UAPs was "indisputable", but blamed the most vexing sighting on airborne "plasmas" formed during "more than one set of weather and electrically charged conditions", or during meteor showers.

DID A UFO ACCOMPANY A MILITARY PLANE OVER VORONEZH?

Source: *Komsomolskaya Pravda* (Moscow), 08/08/06 report by Svetlana Kuzina. Contributed by a SIGAP member.

The following account relates to a UFO sighting by a military flight captain "nearly 10 years ago." He is now retired.

The fact that it happened is confirmed by a unique video recording which the Tu-154 captain handed over to the *Komsomolskaya Pravda* editorial office.

On 27 Aug 1997 the crew of a Tu-154 "generals' aircraft" was transporting officers' families from an airfield in Krasnodar Territory to an airfield located on the edge of Voronezh. The aircraft belonged to a separate transport regiment of the military transportation aviation and was serving the Air Defence Main HQ. One of the crew members was filming the whole flight with an amateur camcorder "for the memory," to show his parents and entertain the girls. At 22.10, as they were preparing to land, the starboard navigator caught sight of a large bright spot, which had suddenly appeared from nowhere, and which seemed to be accompanying the plane. This dramatic moment was also captured on the video. The

following is the in-flight conversation that took place between two of the crew.

Major Viktor Strebkov, Captain: Do you see it? Is it approaching [us]?

Navigator Sergey Kostenko: Yes, I see it. It's maintaining its distance.

VS: Sergey, check the approximate distance.

SK: 1,600 metres. But the object's altitude is a bit above ours – 91,000 metres. It looks rather like a plane, but there seems to be a light shining on the top of its wing. (During the first view seconds of its appearance on the tape, the light appears as a bright spot on the end of a kind of cigar-shaped construction – *Komsomolskaya Pravda editor's note*).

VS, to Air Traffic Control [ATC]: Are you seeing an object on your radar? (The on-board radar was not registering anything -- *KP editor's note*).

ATC: We are seeing nothing. How about you? Please tell us its diameter and any other data.

VS: It's flying at approximately our altitude. We can't determine its diameter. But it's a large object.

SK: Maybe it's Venus?

VS: No, that's Venus there, over to port. (The captain and navigator raise themselves to see what is on the port side, but the object is still to starboard – *KP editor*). OK, is it still visible?

(The captain is already rather nervous, as the object has been following the plane for 20 minutes by now -- *KP editor*).

SK: Yes, but it's dropping back.

The plane begins its descent, and the object either disappears from view or vanishes altogether.

The (now retired) captain confirms that on the day of the sighting there were no secret experiments under way, and that the object was not connected with meteorology, and was not a planet or star. Another Russian Federation General HQ staffer, Col. Oleg Muromov [retired], confirms that the object could not have been a planet: "If you fly from south to north at that time of year, as they were doing, Venus should be visible to port, not to starboard, where the UFO was."

Comment by Ufologists' Union President Boris Shurinov:

"This is a unique recording. This object is clearly solid, which means it had to be constructed by someone. If not in a hangar near Moscow, then somewhere on the planet nearest to ours. It is possible, incidentally, that the object was able to conceal itself inside a kind of plasma balloon. The main thing is that the recording was made by military professionals, so there is no cause to doubt that what they saw was an extraterrestrial craft."

WHISTLEBLOWER DIES IN SUSPICIOUS CIRCUMSTANCES ON STAGE AT UFO CONFERENCE

Tuesday, 17 October 2006, by James Casbolt, ex-MI6 Agent

Source: http://www.metatech.org/A06/whistleblower_dies_ufo_conference.html

On Saturday 7th October I attended a UFO conference in Blackpool called 'Probe international'. I planned to meet former US government official Dean Warwick, who was the last speaker of the afternoon, and to try to convince him to blow the whistle about his experiences and knowledge regarding the NSA, missing children and extraterrestrials.

At this time Dean had already gone public and completed an interview a couple of weeks earlier with my friend, investigative journalist Dave Starbuck. The fact of the matter was that Dean had only talked about a fraction of what he really knew. Therefore it was my intention to appeal to him and try to convince him to fully blow the whistle.

When Dean was only minutes into his talk on stage he collapsed unconscious on the floor. The crowd was stunned and mass confusion ensued. He had stopped breathing and an ambulance was called, but Dean had died on stage.

When Dean collapsed on the floor, a woman witnessed a man with dark hair slip out of the hall. She followed this man, who then proceeded to walk down the stairs whistling merrily to himself, got into a car and made a phone call. He was then seen laughing down the phone to whoever he was speaking to. Who walks out of a hall whistling happily to himself and then starts laughing down the phone after a man has just collapsed and stopped breathing on stage?

All of these events point to the fact that this was an intelligence-agency 'hit' using an E.L.F (extremely low frequency) weapon. These E.L.F weapons can be set on a 'Delta wave' and are able to shut a person's nervous system down.

Much of this technology has been perfected on the 4th level of the CIA underground Dulce facility in the New Mexico desert. The fourth level of this underground base deals with technological aspects of human-aura research, dream manipulation, hypnosis, telepathy and advanced mind control. The E.L.F weapons 'suck' the life out the victim's body, and Dean literally 'fell asleep' on his feet.

I was in tears and I walked past my friend Ellis, who stared me right in the eyes with a look that said "We both know exactly what happened then". Dean Warwick was a very brave man, who died fighting for our freedom. Those responsible will be held fully accountable when the time comes. That time is coming soon. The

same holds true for the 'fifth-column' agents who were trying to convince the people at Probe that they were one of us. You know who you are, and so do I.

The following are excerpts from the interview Dean Warwick completed with Dave Starbuck a couple of weeks before his death. This regards missing children, military/extraterrestrial involvement and the planned American holocaust.

Dean Warwick: "I want to come to something which has perturbed me for some time, and that's to do with the killing of the children. A release from the department of statistics of the United States government said that every year 150,000 children will in the United States [go missing and] never be heard of again.

Three months later I got a similar report which said that in central and southern America there will be 350,000. I did mathematics, I specialised in pure and advanced maths at university. That's not a coincidence. We've got 0.5% of the population in North America, 0.5% in central and southern America; that can't be a coincidence."

Dave Starbuck: "No, not at all."

Dean Warwick: "So, one day I've got a suit that walks into my showroom and we get talking, and he says that he's got a meeting at the DSS offices. I say 'You'd be interested in this' and I tell him that I've got these reports and what the figures showed. I say 'We don't have anything like that in the UK'. He says 'Oh yes we do'. He says 'I will tell you who I am, I've been with this department for 30 years I'm now the head of it.' He says 'There's 20 to 25,000 children [go missing] a year in the UK'. Sometime later I was watching television, it was the middle of the day and I saw it as a news item; and the lady comes on who's a part of a group in London, I think their name was 'The mothers of the disappeared'. She says that each year in the UK 120,000 children between the age of 10 and 23 will leave home and 80% will be found again. In other words 20% will be missing. Well, 20% of 120,000 makes 20,000 and confirms the figure this man had told me. So, what's happening to these kids? I'm talking to a chief of police and he says 'You're right about these kids, Dean!' He says 'One year we recorded 40 [thousand] something had gone missing. He said 'The government came back at us and said, no you've got it wrong -- it's 60 [thousand] something this year. I have it from a police officer involved direct from Dorset police. Every week they find 7 to 8 children in the New Forest that have been slit around their necks, down their shoulders, their skin has been peeled off their backs and their flesh has been eaten into whilst they are alive. Now I think this has to do with what my grandfather warned me I would learn about later on (earlier in the interview Dean had said his grandfather had warned him

that, when Dean was older, he would come across a secret and it would destroy him) and it brings me to the Jessica and Holly event. Anybody who believes that Ian Huntley had anything to do with the killing of those kids wants their head examined"

Dave Starbuck: "Four years ago I did a presentation -- 'Who really murdered Holly Wells and Jessica Chapman' -- and the response I had was so tremendous, which included two solicitors and a Barrister."

Dean Warwick: "What we have is: Ian Huntley was there because found in the tucks of his trousers were seeds from plants that were in this forest. He could have got them from anywhere, they could have been planted [on him]. This man is so dumb that he takes these bodies to this place, he strips them of their clothes and pours petrol on them to burn them. Well, anybody who's got half a brain knows that you would leave the clothes on to soak up the petrol and make the fire burn more. He takes the clothes back to the school, gets out a bin from underneath the stage, puts the clothes in the bin, sprinkles petrol on them and sets them alight; he waits until they're burned so far and puts the fire out with a hose. This is nonsense! We have the case of the lady who has tried to get a newspaper or any form of media to print her story. Whether it's true or not, I don't know. The line is that she walked her dog in that forest every day for two weeks before Jessica and Holly were discovered. She said military police were blocking the road."

Dave Starbuck: "That's correct, yes I heard that from another source as well."

Dean Warwick: "And then we have the case of the runner who was interviewed outside the court whilst the case was proceeding against Huntley, and he said that for three nights he had been running and had run past those woods, and he had heard girls screaming in those woods. Now, my information of what happened to Jessica and Holly is as follows -- and it comes from high-ranking American military intelligence, who, as far as I am concerned, I believe. They are within government, they are deep within government; who they are what they [do] is another story. Those girls' bodies where treated with a set of talons and they were raped. Now, whether this was part of a cult or it is a part of a transmutation of a presence is open to argument. I personally think that David Icke has got a point, but he actually misses the point slightly and some of what we have got to do with reptilians and other entities is somewhere there, but we're not getting to it. But, when we bring this back to the event of seven to eight (bodies) being found in the New Forest and Dorset, and this police officer tells us that one of his associates is the one who has to inspect the bodies, each time he is nearly suicidal over what he is finding"

Dave Starbuck: "I can believe that"

Dean Warwick: "It brings me back to a piece which occurs many, many times in the Bible: 'And they ate the flesh of the children in those days', and there is a reason for that. I am fortunate in that I have a series of products which I do, and I get into the homes of a lot of people. One client, for example, is fairly high up in British government scientific research. Now, it is that in the biblical record and much of what Zecharia Sitchin has written, he tells the story of how 'Those who from Heaven to Earth came' -- the Nephilim or the Annunaki -- which are referred to in some parts of the Bible. They ate the menstrual fluid of their women, because from that they could derive the ability to read the mind of the person confronting them, they could communicate without speech, and I will go along with [that]; and I want to explain, later, a little bit of what I did at university in New Zealand. When the time comes for these people to leave and there are those who have come down to Earth and entered unto human women, and the women have begotten giants, and they have died in the process of giving birth, these people were left with a problem. That was: where did they get the ingredient to give them the ability their women had? They had no ability to derive from human women the ingredients by consuming the menstrual fluid of human women, but they discovered a terrorised human child whose flesh was eaten, and this I have from a prominent scientific base (I won't say where) in the United Kingdom: "Yes, that is the answer to it, Dean".

If that flesh is consumed, it is flushed with the serotonin and adrenalin and it gives similar ingredients to allow them the ability they had of reading the mind and of communication without speech. There are so many references to it in the Bible and the references should be taken absolutely as written. It doesn't say they ate from the bones of children, it doesn't say they ate the skin of the children; it says: 'And they ate the flesh' and that means they had been skinned. It's like the reference in the Bible, which is that supposedly Cain slew his brother Abel. That's not true, it does not say that. It says Cain ate Abel and as a result he was banished. Now, I can't think of anything worse than a child being terrorised to the extent that they would be and then consumed, as I have had confirmed by police forces, who actually do the investigating. This may have something to do with what my grandfather told me when I was a child -- that which I would learn later on in life, and certainly I can't think of anything worse than the experience a child would feel and think of when being subjected to this. Similar to this, I [went] public recently with documents that I have put out through *Nexus [Magazine]* -- that we have the invention of guillotines appearing, which is quoted in Revelation 24. Thousands of them being brought into American military bases. What for? A senator stating that this is a wonderful way to execute the death penalty --

excuse the pun. But they don't kill [execute -- ed.] a hundred people a year in America, so what do they need thousands of guillotines for? The congresswoman for San Diego who has publicly stated she has been to Japan and watched in the factory in Japan where they have been making these things."

Dave Starbuck: "Yes I have heard a report of this from elsewhere"

Dean Warwick: "Well, it was actually reported on BBC Radio, in the middle of January 2004, that a container-load was being shipped into a warehouse in the US at the rate of 300 per container- load. Now I do have a theory: I can quite see that some of the world's so-called top leaders like Kissinger have said publicly that we need to reduce the population of the planet by six-and-a-half billion, from seven billion. How are you going to get [rid of] that number of people? Yes, okay -- we can play games with the weather, we can do all sorts of things, we will have massive flooding and people will lose their lives because of global warming and the rising sea levels, etc. I have it that a farmer in Yorkshire was threatened by the police. Now I have to stop there and go back a bit, because it was Gunderson who was the biggest metals fabricator in the world and he was interviewed on television about the fact that he had this contract with the American government to supply thousands of railway boxcars, and that each of these cars had room for 150 people. There is also the case of a British doctor and his family who were walking in a forest in Canada close to the American border, and they came upon a railway yard that has not been used for at least 10 years, and there were 50 railway boxcars there. They open the doors and inside of each one there are shackles with a guillotine at the head. Now, I put it to you, just consider that you and I are shackled and I am put to the guillotine and you are left waiting till the following day. You're going to be terrified"

Dave Starbuck: "You're damn right!"

Dean Warwick: "So, we get the similar condition where you are terrified, the adrenalin and the serotonin are running -- ingredients we have in the body parts. Which is what this senator meant -- that it was a good method of execution and a great way of getting body parts. But six-and-a-half billion people all over the world to be exterminated -- it is such a big thing that the majority of people would say it is just nonsense. But that's the point. You put something straight in front of somebody and that is the easiest way to conceal it. It was like the Germans re-arming for the Second World War. They could make guns, armament parts and bullets, and they could dig holes in the ground and bury them, but one thing they couldn't hide was the gun barrel. So what did they do? They had them erected sticking through the roofs of people's sheds at the back of their houses and told them to light paper

underneath them everyday. So the gun barrel was right there in plain view, nobody released it was a gun barrel -- they thought it was a smokestack"

Dave Starbuck: "Well, this has been a policy of the New World Order brigade for a long time. If you are going to hide anything, hide it in plain view. I can speak with some authority on that. Before I got into journalism, I used to earn my living as a professional comedy magician. So I'm quite used to hiding things in plain view"


For more information about Dean Warwick and the issues raised in this interview see my website: www.jamescasbolt.com

 **LETTERS**

Members who wish to express any opinions, ideas or comments are invited to write to Pegasus at the address on page two. We reserve the right to edit any submission. Ed.

NEWS IN BRIEF/ SIGHTINGS ROUNDUP

Follow-up - "Jackdaws over Khimki" - On the back page of the previous 'Pegasus' (Vol. 20 #4) we featured an article and photograph published in the Russian newspaper 'Izvestiya' of an unusual-looking aerial phenomenon. A couple of weeks afterwards, on 24 August, they published a follow-up article offering the prosaic explanation reproduced below. It is worth noting that it appears that what we have been shown now is the complete photograph, whereas previously we were only shown part of the same photo. One can only speculate as to why the original photo was trimmed in order to convey a more extra-terrestrial appearance. Many thanks to a SIGAP member for the translated summary below:



This article, by Petr Obratsov, gives an explanation sent in by a former Anti-aircraft Defence Unit officer. He says that the 'jackdaws' are in fact a 'passive defence' device that deflects enemy heat-seeking missiles away from military planes, creating a false target. It also deflects enemy radar. The device is made of two light V-shaped plates made of foil and connected by a thin wire, and they can glide in the air. The pilot releases them into the air when he detects a radar beam focusing on his plane. Several spots appear on the enemy's radar screen, reflected by the "UFO," and they exactly correspond to a plane's silhouette. Pieces of foil released simultaneously [the "dots" around the "UFO"] increase the enemy's confusion. [He adds that aluminium strips were used by the Allies in WW2 to mislead enemy radar during air raids]. The release of the device on this occasion was either pilot error or technicians' negligence, as during genuine exercises they use 'dozens' at a time, not just one.

He says he was personally involved in installing them during his service in the unit.

UFOs and the Royals - Prince William is the newest member of the Royal Family to become obsessed with contacting outer-space aliens, keeping alive a family precedent started in the 1950s by his grandfather, Prince Philip, a serious UFO believer, and continued by William's father, Prince Charles, whose airplane was buzzed by a mysterious UFO in 1986, raising many royal eyebrows.

According to private visitors, Prince Philip kept a map on his office wall in Buckingham Palace indicating UFO hot spots. But it was a face to face encounter that Prince Philip's equerry reportedly had with a space alien named "Janus" that caused by far the biggest royal uproar. Air Marshall Peter Horsley, an intimate adviser to Queen Elizabeth II, said that in 1954 he met a visitor from another galaxy and spoke to him for hours about space travel, and the possible role of Prince Philip in curing the environment. The former RAF chief published his startling book, "Sounds From Another Room."

RAF jets sent up to investigate an unearthly visitor at Balmoral Castle in 1996 were unable to shoot down the spacecraft. A red UFO similar to the one that chased Prince Charles' plane in 1986 on a flight from the United States was observed a week later by eyewitnesses over Windsor Castle.

A close friend of Princess Diana, Francis Hamilton Stidston, reported that a flying saucer left behind its calling card in a field

behind her home in South Devon, in 1991--a scorched circle 75 feet in diameter. Princess Diana had a distinct taste for the paranormal. Chillingly, Diana predicted her own untimely death in a car crash ten months before it happened--an event that sent shockwaves around the world. As fate would have it, Glenda Line told a local newspaper that she saw a huge bright light in the sky above Althorp the night before Princess Diana's funeral in 1997. "I said at the time that it was Diana's star," she said.

Prince William, the young Heir Presumptive to the British throne, and the late Princess Diana's elder son, is said to be starstruck by Michael C. Luckman's controversial book *Alien Rock: The Rock 'n' Roll Extraterrestrial Connection* (Pocket Books and VH1), featuring many British rock stars from the Beatles and the Rolling Stones to his close friend, Sir Elton John.

Luckman, an outspoken American UFO leader, wants Prince William, who is a big techno music fan, to join him and a host of other members of international royalty in a series of history-making Signal to Space Concerts using live music by rock and pop superstars and sophisticated laser and satellite technology to make peaceful contact between an advanced extraterrestrial civilization and the human race.

Prince William's best friend, British millionaire businessman James Murray Wells, presented *Alien Rock* to the Prince after receiving copies of the book from celebrity fashion designer Andre Van Pier at a recent charity dinner held in Van Pier's honour in Qatar. Prince William's mother was Van Pier's most revered client and was widely photographed wearing his couture.

Luckman's book, which has caught the attention of major Hollywood studios and such directors as Steven Spielberg, claims that Elvis Presley, John Lennon, Mick Jagger, David Bowie, Jimi Hendrix, Jerry Garcia and Michael Jackson all had extraterrestrial and paranormal encounters.

Source: www.filersfiles.com

#####

The views expressed in Pegasus are those of the articles' authors and are not necessarily those of the editor, or of SIGAP.

#####